Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Ashland University

Institution Profile

(Data Source: Ashland University)

Ashland University is a mid-sized, private, comprehensive university that offers undergraduate, graduate, doctoral and theological programs to nearly 6,000 students attending classes at the Ashland campus, as well as centers in Cleveland, Columbus, Elyria, Mansfield, Massillon, Medina, Westlake, Cincinnati and Detroit. A number of online programs also are available. AU has been recognized as the top private college for teacher education in Ohio by the Online College Database, "Top Colleges in Ohio Shaping the Next Generation."

Dwight Schar College of Education

NCATE accredited since 1973, the Dwight Schar College of Education is a leader in educator preparation within the state of Ohio. With more than 15 undergraduate degree programs that lead to teacher licensure and strong articulation agreements with 2-year colleges, students throughout Ohio are able to complete their degrees at Ashland. The College's extensive graduate offerings of doctoral, master, post-baccalaureate initial teacher preparation, endorsement and administrative programs are available online, at the Ashland campus, and locations in Cleveland, Columbus, Elyria, and Massillon.

Report Overview

Ohio recognizes that high-quality teachers come from high-quality teacher preparation programs. To help improve the quality of educator preparation programs in Ohio, H.B. 1 of the 128th General Assembly directed the Chancellor of the Ohio Department of Higher Education to develop a system for evaluating Ohio's educator preparation programs (ORC section 3333.048).

The Metrics Report requirements were developed in collaboration with the leaders of both the public and private educator preparation programs. Approved Ohio Educator Preparation programs are required to complete the report between October 1-November 30, annually. Components of the Educator Preparation Reports

The Ohio Department of Higher Education works with the State Board of Education, the Department of Education and Workforce, and higher education institutions to collect data on the following identified metrics for the annual reports:

- Licensure Test Pass Rates
- Ohio Teacher Evaluation System (OTES) Results of Program Graduates
- Ohio Principal Evaluation System (OPES) Results of Program Graduates
- Value-added Data (EVAAS)
- Candidate Academic Measures Considered for Program Admission
- Field/Clinical Experiences
- Pre-Service Teacher Candidate Survey Results
- Resident Educator Survey Results
- Principal Intern Survey Results
- Principal Mentor Survey Results
- Resident Educator Persistence Data
- Excellence and Innovation Initiatives
- National Accreditation

Limitations of the Report

• Principal licensure pass rate data are reported by each institution and have not undergone the rigorous verification and matching process required by Title II.

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Ashland University

Ohio Teacher Evaluation System (OTES) Results for Ohio Teachers Prepared by an Ohio Educator Preparation Provider at Ashland University

Reporting period from September 1, 2022 to August 31, 2023. (Data Source: State Board of Education)

Description of Data:

Ohio's system for evaluating teachers (Ohio's Teacher Evaluation System) provides educators with a detailed view of their performance, with a focus on specific strengths and opportunities for improvement. The system is research-based and designed to be transparent, fair, and adaptable to the specific contexts of Ohio's school districts. Furthermore, it builds on what educators know about the importance of ongoing assessment and feedback as a powerful vehicle to support improved practice. Teacher performance and student academic growth are the two key components of Ohio's evaluation system.

Note that the data on this page is not a chronological view of evaluations. Rather, it's a view of the evaluations from that school year, showing four different cohorts of licensed educators. The most recently licensed cohort will eventually have more evaluation results in its second year as more educators find employment as teachers or principals.

Limitations of the Ohio Teacher Evaluation System (OTES) Data:

- 1. The information in the report is for those individuals receiving their licenses within the previous four effective years.
- 2. The teacher evaluation data in this report are provided by the Ohio Department of Education.
- 3. Due to ORC 3333.041(B), annual results must be masked for institutions with fewer than 10 completers with OTES data.

	Associated Teacher Evaluation Classifications					
Initial Licensure Effective Year	# Accomplished	# Skilled	# Developing	# Ineffective		
2019	29	76	N<10	N<10		
2020	N<10	50	N<10	N<10		
2021	N<10	60	N<10	N<10		
2022	N<10	26	N<10	N<10		

Ohio Principal Evaluation System (OPES) Results for Individuals Completing Principal Preparation Programs at Ashland University

Reporting period from September 1, 2022 to August 31, 2023. (Data Source: State Board of Education)

Description of Data:

Ohio's system for evaluating principals (Ohio's Principal Evaluation System) provides building leaders with a detailed view of their performance, with a focus on specific strengths and opportunities for improvement.

The Ohio Principal Evaluation System (OPES) data reported here are limited in that the information in the report is for those individuals receiving their licenses within the previous four effective years.

An apparent dip in evaluations for the most recent "Initial License Effective Year" cohort comes from the perception that any given year's evaluation results are actually a chronological view of evaluations. Rather, it's a view of the evaluations from that school year, showing four different cohorts of licensed educators. The most recently licensed cohort will eventually have more evaluation results in its second year as more educators find employment as teachers or principals.

Associated Principal Evaluation Classifications					
Initial Licensure Effective Year	# Accomplished	# Skilled	# Developing	# Ineffective	
2019	27	20	N<10	N<10	
2020	27	20	N<10	N<10	
2021	31	28	N<10	N<10	
2022	19	22	N<10	N<10	

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Ashland University

Field and Clinical Experiences for Candidates at Ashland University

Reporting period from September 1, 2022 to August 31, 2023. (Data Source: Ashland University)

Description of Data:

Ohio requires that educator candidates complete field and clinical experiences in school settings as part of their preparation. These experiences include early and ongoing field-based opportunities and the culminating pre-service clinical experience commonly referred to as "student teaching." The specific requirements beyond the requisite statewide minimums for these placements vary by institution and by program. The information below is calculated based on data reported by Ohio Educator Preparation Providers.

Teacher Preparation Programs					
Field/Clinical Experience Element	Requirements				
Require edTPA National Scoring from candidates in teacher preparation programs at the institution	N				
Minimum number of field/clinical hours required of candidates in teacher preparation programs at the institution	180				
Maximum number of field/clinical hours required of candidates in teacher preparation programs at the institution	265				
Average number of weeks required to teach full-time within the student teaching experience at the institution	14				
Percentage of teacher candidates who satisfactorily completed student teaching	94.67%				

Principal Preparation Programs				
Field/Clinical Experience Element	Requirements			
Total number of field/clinical weeks required of principal candidates in internship	32			
Number of candidates who started internship	50			
Number of candidates who completed internship	48			
Percentage of principal candidates who satisfactorily completed internship	96%			

Description of	Data:
----------------	-------

Teacher Licensure Tests				
Summary Rating: EFFECTIVE				
Completers Tested Pass Rate				
96 85%				

Ohio Principal Licensure Examination Pass Rates at Ashland University

Reporting period from September 1, 2022 to August 31, 2023. (Data Source: Ashland University)

Description of Data:

Ohio requires that principal candidates pass the requisite state examination to be recommended for licensure. Principal pass rate data is not included in the Title II reporting process, and is submitted by the Educator Preparation Providers

Principal Licensure Tests			
Completers Tested	Pass Rate		
66	89%		

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Ashland University

Value-Added Data for Students Taught by Teachers Prepared by Ohio Educator Preparation Providers at Ashland University

Reporting period from September 1, 2022 to August 31, 2023.

Description of Data:

Ohio's value-added data system provides information on student academic gains. As a vital component of Ohio's accountability system, districts and educators have access to an extensive array of diagnostic data through the Education Value-Added Assessment System (EVAAS). Schools can demonstrate through value-added data that many of their students are achieving significant progress. Student growth measures also provide students and parents with evidence of the impact of their efforts. Educators and schools further use value-added data to inform instructional practices.

Limitations of the Value-Added Data:

- 1. The information in the report is for those individuals receiving their licenses with the previous four reporting effective years.
- 2. The value-added data in this report are those reported by Ohio's Education Value-Added Assessment System (EVAAS) based on Elementary and Middle School Tests (Grades 4-8) and End-of-Course Tests for high school credit.
- 3. For Educator Preparation Providers with fewer than 10 linked teachers or principals with value-added data, only the number (N) is reported.

Value-Added Data for Ashland University-Prepared Teachers

	sure Effective 020, 2021, 2022	Associated Value-Added Classifications		
Employed as Teachers	Teachers with Value-Added Data	Yellow Green Light Blue		
291	95	N=19 20%	N=68 72%	N=8 8%

Demographic Information for Schools where Ashland University-Prepared Teachers with Value-Added Data Serve

Teachers Serving by School Level					
Elementary School	Middle School	Junior High School	High School	No School Level	
N=22	N=33	N=2	N=38	N/A	
23%	35%	2%	40%	N/A	

Teachers Serving by School Type					
Community School	Public School	STEM School	Educational Service Center	Career-Tech	No School Type
N=5	N=90	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
5%	95%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Teachers Serving by Overall Letter Grade of Building Value-Added					
A	В	С	D	F	NR
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N=95
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%

Teachers Serving by Minority Enrollment by Quartiles						
High Minority	Medium-High Minority	Low Minority	No Minority Quartile			
N=25	N=14	N=31	N=25	N/A		
26%	15%	33%	26%	N/A		

	Teachers Serving by Poverty Level by Quartiles								
High Poverty Medium-High Poverty Medium-Low Poverty Low Poverty No Poverty									
N=18	N=26	N=29	N=22	N/A					
19%	27%	31%	23%	N/A					

^{*} Due to the preliminary nature of the data and staffing at ESC/district level, certain demographic variables have not been reported for some schools.

Value-Added Data for Ashland University-Prepared Principals

	Initial Licensure Effective Years 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022		Principals Serving by Letter Grade of Overall Building Value-Added			dded
Employed as Principals	Principals with Value- Added Data	Α	В	С	D	F
14	14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Demographic Information for Schools where Ashland University-Prepared Principals with Value-Added Data Serve

	Principals Serving by School Level									
Elementary School	Middle School	Junior High School	High School	No School Level						
N=16	N=2	N=1	N=2	N/A						
114%	14%	7%	14%	N/A						

	Principals Serving by School Type										
Community School	Public School	STEM School	Educational Service Center	Career-Tech	No School Type						
N=1	N=19	N=1	N/A	N/A	N/A						
7%	136%	7%	N/A	N/A	N/A						

Principals Serving by Overall Letter Grade of School								
A	В	С	D	F	NR			
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

Principals Serving by Minority Enrollment by Quartiles									
High Minority Medium-High Minority Medium-Low Minority Low Minority No Minority Quarti									
N=5	N=4	N=3	N=9	N/A					
36%	29%	21%	64%	N/A					

	Principals Serving by Poverty Level by Quartiles								
High Poverty Medium-High Poverty Medium-Low Poverty Low Poverty No Poverty Qu									
N=5	N=2	N=7	N=7	N/A					
36%	14%	50%	50%	N/A					

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Ashland University

Ashland University Candidate Academic Measures

(Data Source: Ashland University)
Reporting period from September 1, 2022 to August 31, 2023.

Undergraduate Admission Requirements

Students considered for candidacy must have satisfactorily completed: a sophomore field experience, a minimum score on either the ACT, SAT, Praxis Core, a 2.5 cumulative GPA, oral communication proficiency, and initial field dispositions.

Post-Baccalaureate Admission Requirements

Students considered for candidacy must have satisfactorily completed: an initial field experience, a minimum score on either the ACT, SAT, Praxis Core, a 3.0 cumulative GPA, oral communication proficiency, and initial field dispositions.

Description of Data:

The data in this section are the average scores of candidates on academic measures reported by the provider. If a measure is not applicable to a level of delivery (undergraduate, post-baccalaureate, graduate) the table reflects "N/A." In the "Dispositional Assessments and Other Measures" portion, if the provider did not indicate using a measure, OR if the institution does not offer a program at the designated level of delivery, the table reflects "N."

Candidates Admitted is the number admitted (for fall or spring) during the academic year.

Candidates Enrolled is the total number of candidates admitted and enrolled (including program completers) during the academic year.

Candidates Completing is the number meeting all the requirements of a state-approved teacher preparation program during the academic year.

Teacher Preparation Programs

U=Undergraduate P=Post-Baccalaureate G=Graduate

		Candidate	s Admitted	Candidate	s Enrolled	Candidates	Completing
Academic Measure	Required Score	Number Admitted	Average Score	Number Enrolled	Average Score	Number Completed	Average Score
ACT Composite	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A
Score	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
ACT English	U=19	U=54	U=23.13	U=174	U=23.33	U=43	U=23.44
Subscore	P=19	P=12	P=24.75	P=33	P=24.58	P=N<10	P=N<10
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
ACT Essay	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A
(Optional)	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A
` '	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
ACT Math	U=18	U=57	U=23.14	U=189	U=23.04	U=50	U=22.18
Subscore	P=18	P=10	P=23.4	P=30	P=23.93	P=N<10	P=N<10
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
ACT Reading	U=20	U=58	U=25.69	U=198	U=25.16	U=50	U=24.56
Subscore	P=20	P=11	P=26.91	P=32	P=25.78	P=N<10	P=N<10
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
ACT Science	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A
Subscore	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
GPA - Graduate	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A
	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
GPA - High School	U= 2.50	U=59	U= 3.58	U=190	U= 3.51	U=41	U= 3.72
-	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A

		Candidate	s Admitted	Candidate	es Enrolled	Candidates	Completing
Academic Measure	Required Score	Number Admitted	Average Score	Number Enrolled	Average Score	Number Completed	Average Score
GPA - Transfer	U= 2.50	U=26	U= 3.71	U=86	U= 3.64	U=38	U= 3.78
	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
GPA -	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A
Undergraduate	P= 3.00	P=14	P= 3.83	P=42	P= 3.81	P=13	P= 3.87
_	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
GRE Composite	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A
Score	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
GRE Quantitative	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A
Subscore	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
GRE Verbal	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A
Subscore	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
GRE Writing	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A
Subscore	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
MAT	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A
	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
Praxis CORE Math	U=156	U=N<10	U=N<10	U=24	U=164.33	U=N<10	U=N<10
	P=156	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
Praxis CORE	U=152	U=11	U=173.63	U=49	U=170.45	U=23	U=169.39
Reading	P=152	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10
_	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
Praxis CORE	U=162	U=N<10	U=N<10	U=19	U=165.68	U=10	U=165.2
Writing	P=162	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10
_	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
Praxis I Math	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A
	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
Praxis I Reading	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A
Ĭ	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
Praxis I Writing	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A
-	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
Praxis II	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A
	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
SAT Composite	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A
Score	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
SAT Essay,	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A
Writing (Optional)	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A
' '	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
SAT Math	U=520	U=N<10	U=N<10	U=12	U=568.33	U=N<10	U=N<10
Subscore	P=520	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
SAT Reading/	U=530	U=N<10	U=N<10	U=12	U=604.17	U=N<10	U=N<10
Writing Subscore	P=530	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
SAT Writing	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A
Subscore	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
Other Cr	iteria	Underg	raduate	Post-Bac	calaureate	Grad	uate
Disposition	nal Assessment		N		N	N	l
EMPATHY/C	maha Interview	1	N		N	N	I

Other Criteria	Undergraduate	Post-Baccalaureate	Graduate
Essay	N	N	N
High School Class Rank	N/A	N/A	N/A
Interview	N	N	N
Letter of Commitment	N	N	N
Letter of Recommendation	N	N	N
Myers-Briggs Type Indicator	N/A	N	N
OAE Content Assessment	N/A	N/A	N
Portfolio	N	N	N
Prerequisite Courses	Υ	Y	N
SRI Teacher Perceiver	N/A	N/A	N
Superintendent Statement of Sponsorship	N/A	N/A	N
Teacher Insight	N	N	N

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Ashland University

Principal Program Admission Requirements

Applicants seeking candidacy in the Masters level Building Principal licensure program are required to have a previous degree minimum GPA of 2.75. Applicants must hold an active Ohio Initial Teaching license. Candidates must complete advanced course work that includes a solid foundation in Educational Administration and a two-semester internship focusing on building principal experiences. Building Principal licensure applicants must have taught 2 years with a professional or provisional teacher.

Principal Preparation Programs

		Candidate	s Admitted	Candidate	s Enrolled	Candidates	Completing
Academic Measure	Required Score	Number Admitted	Average Score	Number Enrolled	Average Score	Number Completed	Average Score
GPA - Undergraduate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
GPA - High School	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
GPA - Graduate	2.75	62	3.77	113	3.81	17	3.94
ACT Composite Score	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ACT Math Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ACT Reading Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ACT English Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
SAT Composite Score	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
SAT Math Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
SAT Reading/ Writing Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
SAT Writing Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Praxis I Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Praxis I Math	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Praxis I Writing	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Praxis II	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
GRE Composite Score	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
GRE Verbal Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
GRE Quantitative Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
GRE Writing Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
MAT	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ACT Science Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

-,-		Candidates	s Admitted	Candidate	s Enrolled	Candidates	Completing
Academic Measure	Required Score	Number Admitted	Average Score	Number Enrolled	Average Score	Number Completed	Average Score
ACT Essay (Optional)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
SAT Essay, Vriting (Optional)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>'</u>			Other C	riteria			
		Disposition	onal Assessment			N	
		EMPATHY/	Omaha Interview			N	
			Essay			N	
			Interview	N			
		Lette	r of Commitment			N	
		Letter of F	Recommendation			N	
		Myers-Brig	gs Type Indicator			N	
			Portfolio			N	
		Prer	equisite Courses			Y	
		SRI T	eacher Perceiver			N	
	Superintendent Statement of Sponsorship			N			
			Teacher Insight			N	

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Ashland University

Pre-Service Teacher Survey Results

Reporting period from September 1, 2022 to August 31, 2023.

Description of Data:

To gather information on student satisfaction with the quality of preparation provided by their educator preparation programs, the Ohio Department of Higher Education administers a survey aligned with the Ohio Standards for the Teaching Profession (OSTP), Ohio licensure requirements, and elements of national accreditation. All Ohio candidates receive an invitation to complete the survey during their professional internship (student teaching). The results of this survey are reflected here.

Ashland University Survey Response Rate = 13.77% Total Survey Responses = 23

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
1	My teacher licensure program prepared me with knowledge of research on how students learn.	3.38	3.49
2	My teacher licensure program prepared me to recognize characteristics of gifted students, students with disabilities, and at-risk students in order to plan and deliver appropriate instruction.	3.24	3.31
3	My teacher licensure program prepared me with high levels of knowledge and the academic content I plan to teach.	3.19	3.35
4	My teacher licensure program prepared me to identify instructional strategies appropriate to my content area.	3.10	3.44
5	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand the importance of linking interdisciplinary experiences.	3.05	3.40
6	My teacher licensure program prepared me to align instructional goals and activities with Ohio's academic content standards, including Ohio's Learning Standards.	3.57	3.64
7	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use assessment data to inform instruction.	3.05	3.46
8	My teacher licensure program prepared me to clearly communicate learning goals to students.	3.62	3.51
9	My teacher licensure program prepared me to apply knowledge of how students learn, to inform instruction.	3.29	3.53
10	My teacher licensure program prepared me to differentiate instruction to support the learning needs of all students, including students identified as gifted, students with disabilities, and atrisk students.	3.00	3.43
11	My teacher licensure program prepared me to identify strategies to increase student motivation and interest in topics of study.	3.10	3.39
12	My teacher licensure program prepared me to create learning situations in which students work independently, collaboratively, and/or a whole class.	3.48	3.59
13	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use strategies for effective classroom management.	3.00	3.21
14	My teacher licensure program prepared me to communicate clearly and effectively.	3.19	3.53

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average				
15	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand the importance of communication with families and caregivers.	3.33	3.51				
16	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand, uphold, and follow professional ethics, policies, and legal codes of professional conduct.	3.71	3.68				
17	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessments.	3.57	3.58				
18	My teacher licensure program prepared me to communicate high expectations for all students.	3.52	3.60				
19	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand students' diverse cultures, language skills, and experiences.	3.43	3.50				
20	My teacher licensure program prepared me to treat all students fairly and establish an environment that is respectful, supportive, and caring.	3.57	3.72				
21	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use technology to enhance teaching and student learning.	3.33	3.48				
22	My teacher licensure program prepared me to collaborate with colleagues and members of the community when and where appropriate.	3.33	3.50				
23	My teacher licensure program collected evidence of my performance on multiple measures to monitor my progress.	3.29	3.52				
24	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Licensure Program standards for my discipline (e.g. NAEYC, CEC, NCTM).	3.19	3.17				
25	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the operation of Ohio schools as delineated in the Ohio Department of Education School Operating Standards.	2.90	3.12				
26	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the requirements for the Resident Educator License.	3.05	3.08				
27	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Standards for the Teaching Profession.	3.14	3.35				
28	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Standards for Professional Development.	3.10	3.21				
29	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Academic Content Standards, including Ohio's Learning Standards.	3.62	3.66				
30	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Value-added Growth Measure as defined by the Ohio State Board of Education.	2.86	2.96				
31	My teacher licensure program provided field experiences that supported my development as an effective educator focused on student learning.	3.52	3.68				
32	My teacher licensure program provided field experiences in a variety of settings (urban, suburban, and rural).	3.05	3.41				
33	My teacher licensure program provided student teaching experience(s) that supported my development as an effective educator focused on student learning. 3.43						
34	My teacher licensure program provided cooperating teachers who supported me through observation and conferences (face-to-face or via electronic media).	3.62	3.68				
35	My teacher licensure program provided university supervisors who supported me through observation and conferences (face-to-face or via electronic media).	3.71	3.65				
36	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work with diverse students (including gifted students, students with disabilities, and at-risk students).	3.38	3.55				

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
37	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to understand students' diverse cultures, languages, and experiences.	3.14	3.52
38	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work with diverse teachers.	3.19	3.26
39	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to interact with diverse faculty.	3.29	3.34
40	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work and study with diverse peers.	3.05	3.41
41	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program demonstrated in-depth knowledge of their field.	3.48	3.64
42	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program used effective teaching methods that helped promote learning.	3.19	3.51
43	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program modeled respect for diverse populations.	3.52	3.63
44	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program integrated diversity-related subject matter within coursework.	3.43	3.57
45	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program used technology to facilitate teaching and learning.	3.57	3.62
46	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program conducted themselves in a professional manner.	3.67	3.65
47	My teacher licensure program provided clearly articulated policies published to facilitate progression to program completion.	3.14	3.45
48	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to voice concerns about the program.	2.95	3.23
49	My teacher licensure program provided advising to facilitate progression to program completion.	3.14	3.44

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Ashland University

Statewide Survey of Ohio Resident Educators' Reflections on their Educator Preparation Program

Reporting period from September 1, 2022 to August 31, 2023.

Description of Data:

To gather information on alumni satisfaction with the quality of preparation provided by their educator preparation programs, the Ohio Department of Higher Education administers a survey aligned with the Ohio Standards for the Teaching Profession (OSTP), Ohio licensure requirements, and elements of national accreditation. All Ohio Resident Educators who completed their preparation in Ohio receive an invitation to complete the survey in the fall semester as they enter Year 2 of the Resident Educator program.

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average	
1	My teacher licensure program prepared me with knowledge of research on how students learn.	N<10	3.37	
2	My teacher licensure program prepared me to recognize characteristics of gifted students, students with disabilities, and at-risk students in order to plan and deliver appropriate instruction.	N<10	3.21	
3	My teacher licensure program prepared me with high levels of knowledge and the academic content I plan to teach.	N<10	3.31	
4	My teacher licensure program prepared me to identify instructional strategies appropriate to my content area.	N<10	3.31	
5	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand the importance of linking interdisciplinary experiences.	N<10	3.21	
6	My teacher licensure program prepared me to align instructional goals and activities with Ohio's academic content standards, including Ohio's Learning Standards.	N<10	3.51	
7	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use assessment data to inform instruction.	N<10	3.38	
8	My teacher licensure program prepared me to clearly communicate learning goals to students.	N<10	3.45	
9	My teacher licensure program prepared me to apply knowledge of how students learn, to inform instruction.	N<10	3.43	
10	My teacher licensure program prepared me to differentiate instruction to support the learning needs of all students, including students identified as gifted, students with disabilities, and atrisk students.	N<10	3.29	
11	My teacher licensure program prepared me to identify strategies to increase student motivation and interest in topics of study.	N<10	3.17	
12	My teacher licensure program prepared me to create learning situations in which students work independently, collaboratively, and/or a whole class.	N<10	3.36	
13	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use strategies for effective classroom management.	N<10	2.99	
14	My teacher licensure program prepared me to communicate clearly and effectively.	N<10	3.40	

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average	
15	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand the importance of communication with families and caregivers.	N<10	3.28	
16	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand, uphold, and follow professional ethics, policies, and legal codes of professional conduct.	N<10	3.57	
17	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessments.	N<10	3.45	
18	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand students' diverse cultures, language skills, and experiences.	N<10	3.30	
19	My teacher licensure program prepared me to treat all students fairly and establish an environment that is respectful, supportive, and caring.	N<10	3.61	
20	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use technology to enhance teaching and student learning.	N<10	3.35	
21	My teacher licensure program prepared me to collaborate with colleagues and members of the community when and where appropriate.	N<10	3.37	
22	My teacher licensure program collected evidence of my performance on multiple measures to monitor my progress.	N<10	3.37	
23	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Licensure Program standards for my discipline (e.g. NAEYC, CEC, NCTM).	N<10	3.06	
24	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the operation of Ohio schools as delineated in the Ohio Department of Education School Operating Standards.	N<10	3.00	
25	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the requirements for the Resident Educator License.	N<10	3.00	
26	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Standards for the Teaching Profession.	N<10	3.27	
27	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Standards for Professional Development.	N<10	3.10	
28	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Academic Content Standards, including Ohio's Learning Standards.	N<10	3.49	
29	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Value-added Growth Measure as defined by the Ohio State Board of Education.	N<10	2.67	
30	My teacher licensure program provided field experiences that supported my development as an effective educator focused on student learning.	N<10	3.50	
31	My teacher licensure program provided field experiences in a variety of settings (urban, suburban, and rural).	N<10	3.21	
32	My teacher licensure program provided student teaching experience(s) that supported my development as an effective educator focused on student learning.	N<10	3.47	
33	My teacher licensure program provided cooperating teachers who supported me through observation and conferences (face-to-face or via electronic media).	N<10	3.50	
34	My teacher licensure program provided university supervisors who supported me through observation and conferences (face-to-face or via electronic media).	N<10	3.44	
35	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work with diverse students (including gifted students, students with disabilities, and at-risk students).	N<10	3.31	
36	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to understand students' diverse cultures, languages, and experiences.	N<10	3.26	

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average				
37	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work with diverse teachers.	N<10	3.06				
38	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to interact with diverse faculty. N<10						
39	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work and study with diverse peers.	N<10	3.19				
40	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program demonstrated in-depth knowledge of their field.	N<10	3.53				
41	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program used effective teaching methods that helped promote learning.	N<10	3.45				
42	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program modeled respect for diverse populations.	N<10	3.52				
43	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program integrated diversity-related subject matter within coursework.	N<10	3.38				
44	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program used technology to facilitate teaching and learning.	N<10	3.48				
45	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program conducted themselves in a professional manner.	N<10	3.57				
46	My teacher licensure program provided clearly articulated policies published to facilitate progression to program completion.	N<10	3.38				
47	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to voice concerns about the program.	N<10	3.17				
48	My teacher licensure program provided advising to facilitate progression to program completion.	N<10	3.36				
49	My teacher licensure program prepared me with the knowledge and skills necessary to enter the classroom as a Resident Educator.	N<10	3.29				

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Ashland University

Principal Intern Survey Results

Reporting period from September 1, 2022 to August 31, 2023.

Description of Data:

To gather information on the quality of preparation provided by their educator preparation providers, the Ohio Department of Higher Education distributes a survey to Ohio principal interns. Questions on the survey are aligned with the Ohio Standards for Principals, Ohio licensure requirements, and elements of national accreditation.

Ashland University Survey Response Rate = 12.5% Total Survey Responses = 9

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
1	My program prepared me to lead and facilitate continuous improvement efforts within a school building setting.	3.75	3.68
2	My program prepared me to lead the processes of setting, monitoring, and achieving specific and challenging goals for all students and staff.	3.67	3.67
3	My program prepared me to anticipate, monitor, and respond to educational developments affecting the school and its environment.	3.75	3.65
4	My program prepared me to lead instruction.	3.67	3.58
5	My program prepared me to ensure the instructional content being taught is aligned with the academic standards (e.g. national, Common Core, state) and curriculum priorities of the school and district.	3.75	3.69
6	My program prepared me to ensure effective instructional practices meet the needs of all students at high levels of learning.	3.75	3.69
7	My program prepared me to encourage and facilitate effective use of data by self and staff.	3.75	3.71
8	My program prepared me to advocate for high levels of learning for all students, including students identified as gifted, students with disabilities, and at-risk students.	3.75	3.69
9	My program prepared me to encourage and facilitate effective use of research by self and staff.	3.75	3.64
10	My program prepared me to support staff in planning and implementing research-based professional development and instructional practices.	3.67	3.62
11	My program prepared me to establish and maintain procedures and practices supporting staff and students with a safe environment conducive to learning.	3.75	3.72
12	My program prepared me to establish and maintain a nurturing school environment addressing the physical and mental health needs of all.	3.67	3.69
13	My program prepared me to allocate resources, including technology, to support student and staff learning.		3.61
14	My program prepared me to uphold and model professional ethics; local, state, and national policies; and, legal codes of conduct	3.75	3.74
15	My program prepared me to share leadership with staff, students, parents, and community members.	3.75	3.74

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average	
16	My program prepared me to establish effective working teams and developing structures for collaboration between teachers and educational support personnel.	3.83	3.71	
17	My program prepared me to foster positive professional relationships among staff.	3.83	3.73	
18	My program prepared me to support and advance the leadership capacity of educators.	3.75	3.69	
19	My program prepared me to utilize good communication skills, both verbal and written, with all stakeholder audiences.	3.75	3.73	
20	My program prepared me to connect the school with the community through print and electronic media.	3.42	3.52	
21	My program prepared me to involve parents and communities in improving student learning.	3.50	3.58	
22	My program prepared me to use community resources to improve student learning.	3.50	3.54	
23	My program prepared me to establish expectations for using culturally responsive practices that acknowledge and value diversity.	3.58	3.61	

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Ashland University

Principal Internship Mentor Survey Results

Reporting period from September 1, 2022 to August 31, 2023.

Description of Data:

To gather information on the quality of preparation provided by educator preparation programs, the Ohio Department of Higher Education distributes a survey to individuals who serve as mentors to Ohio principal interns. Questions on the survey are aligned with the Ohio Standards for Principals, Ohio licensure requirements, and elements of national accreditation.

Ashland University Survey Response Rate = 7.94% Total Survey Responses = 5

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
1	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand leading and facilitating continuous improvement efforts within a school building setting.	N<10	3.42
2	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand leading the process of setting, monitoring, and achieving specific and challenging goals for all students and staff.	N<10	3.39
3	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand anticipating, monitoring, and responding to educational developments affecting the school and its environment.	N<10	3.41
4	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand ensuring the instructional content being taught is aligned with the academic standards (i.e., national, Common Core, state) and curriculum priorities of the school and district.	N<10	3.45
5	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand ensuring effective instructional practices that meet the needs of all students at high levels of learning.	N<10	3.45
6	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand advocating for high levels of learning for all students, including students identified as gifted, students with disabilities and at-risk students.	N<10	3.43
7	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand encouraging and facilitating effective use of data by self and staff.	N<10	3.51
8	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand encouraging and facilitating effective use of research by self and staff.	N<10	3.44
9	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand supporting staff in planning and implementing research-based professional development.	N<10	3.40
10	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand establishing and maintaining procedures and practices supporting staff and students with a safe environment conducive to learning.	N<10	3.49
11	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand establishing and maintaining a nurturing school environment addressing the physical and mental health needs of all.	N<10	3.52
12	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand allocating resources, including technology, to support student and staff learning.	N<10	3.40

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
13	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand upholding and modeling professional ethics; local, state, and national policies; and, legal codes of conduct.	N<10	3.58
14	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand connecting the school with the community through print and electronic media.	N<10	3.38
15	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand involving parents and communities in improving student learning.	N<10	3.38
16	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand using community resources to improve student learning.	N<10	3.30
17	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand establishing expectations for using culturally responsive practices that acknowledge and value diversity.	N<10	3.40
18	The school leader candidate's preparation program provided me with training on how to mentor the school leader candidate.	N<10	2.72
19	I participated in and/or accessed the provided mentor training and/or materials.	N<10	2.94
20	The training by the school leader's preparation program adequately prepared me to mentor the school leader candidate.	N<10	2.22

National Accreditation Status

Reporting period from September 1, 2022 to August 31, 2023. (Data Source: Ohio Department of Higher Education)

Description of Data:

All educator preparation programs (EPPs) in Ohio are required to be accredited by either the Association for Advancing Quality in Educator Preparation (AAQEP) or the Council for Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP). Accreditation is a mechanism to ensure the quality of an institution and its programs. The accreditation of an institution and/or program helps employers evaluate the professional preparation of job applicants.

Accrediting Agency	CAEP
Date of Last Review	20-Apr
Accreditation Status	Accredited

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Ashland University

Teacher Residency Program

Reporting period from September 1, 2022 to August 31, 2023. (Data Source: Ashland University)

Description of Data:

The Ohio Resident Educator (RE) Program is a comprehensive, two-year initiative to assist beginning teachers with mentoring and professional development as they start their education careers. Requirements of the program include successful completion of two years of locally determined mentoring activities as well as the Resident Educator Summative Assessment (RESA), and it results in eligibility for professional licensure. The RE Program is designed to improve teacher retention, enhance teacher quality, and result in improved student achievement. Prior to the 2023-2024 school year the RE Program was a four-year initiative.

Data from this table capture a year-to-year snapshot of the persistence of Ohio Educator Preparation Provider graduates through the program. Corrections to prior year reporting may be captured in the current year's reporting. Data used to create this "snapshot" table are sourced not only from the current year's resident educator completion data results, but also prior year results because a Resident Educator may fail to complete all the program year requirements within the same academic year.

Some of the scenarios addressed in the design of the table are as follows:

- 1. A normal scenario, where a student is reported each year and is listed as completed. In this case, we include them in only the Entering/Persisting counts for the current year.
- 2. A scenario where a student is reported as entering one year, but not as completed, but then reported the following year as completing the previous year and current year. In this case, we include them in the Persisting count for the previous year, but not the Entering count. We also include them in the Entering and Persisting counts for the current year.
- 3. A scenario where a student is not reported for one year, but reported with records for the previous year and the current year the following year (both as completed). In this scenario, we include them in both the Entering and Persisting counts for both years.
- 4. A scenario involving a registration fluke where a student completes Year 1 of the RE program with an issued RE license, but not an effective or valid license until the following school year. For example, a teacher has all the qualifications for a full license, but completed Year 1 under a sub-license (in which the work is counted) and Year 2 under a RE license.

Ohio EPP Program Completers Persisting in the State Resident Educator Program who were Prepared at Ashland University

Initial Licensure Effective Year	Residency Year 1			Resid	ency Ye	ar 2	Resid	lency Ye	ear 3	Resid	ency Ye	ar 4
	Entering	ering Persisting		ntering Persisting Entering Persisting		isting	Entering	ng Persisting		Entering Completing		oleting
2019	5	5	100%	10	10	100%	47	48	102.1%	69	69	100%
2020	6	7	116.7%	38	37	97.4%	39	39	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A

	Entering	Persisting		Entering	Persisting		Entering	Persisting		Entering	Completing	
2021	29	29	100%	64	62	96.9%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2022	44	44	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Ashland University

Excellence and Innovation Initiatives

Reporting period from September 1, 2022 to August 31, 2023. (Data Source: Ashland University)

Description of Data:

This section reflects self-reported information from Ohio Educator Preparation Providers on a maximum of three initiatives geared to increase excellence and support innovation in the preparation of Ohio educators.

Teacher Preparation Programs

Initiative:	P-5 Curriculum Redesign (P-8 pending legislation)
Purpose:	Eliminate dual program requirement from current P-5 primary/P-5 Intervention Specialist program
Goal:	Provide more methods and content for P-5 primary ITP candidates by removing the P-5 IS requirement (add on option available for those interested)
Number of Participants:	8
Strategy: Demonstration of Impact:	 Review current curriculum for depth and breadth of content and methods and alignment to InTASC and specialty area standards - Develop new curriculum series that supports standard progressions and developmental clinical experiences - Provide policies and supports for candidates to successfully gateways - Obtain feedback from stakeholders (e.g. Advisory Boards/councils, supervisors, cooperating teachers, candidates, completers) - Incorporate feedback into new curriculum and submit for approval - Gateway data, EPP key assessments
External Recognition:	CAEP; ODHE; EPP Partners
Programs:	P-5 Primary; P-5 Intervention Specialist

Initiative:	12 credit Literacy Series Initiative
Purpose:	Ensure Science of Reading focus so that candidates are ready to teach reading when they enter the classroom
Goal:	Provide ITP candidates a solid foundation in the Science of Reading
Number of Participants:	15
Strategy: Demonstration of Impact:	 Convene a Science of Reading Taskforce that includes internal and external stakeholders - Review current syllabi for the 12 credit literacy series; align to Literacy Collaborative recommended Science of Reading topics/standards - Revise current/develop new SoR focused curriculum - Submit curriculum revisions for approval OAE Foundations of Reading
Demonstration of impact.	- OAE Foundations of Reading
External Recognition:	ODHE; EPP Partners
Programs:	P-5 Primary; P-5 Intervention Specialist; P-12 IS, Middle Grades

Principal Preparation Programs

Initiative:	Superintendent License Program Purpose
Purpose:	Update and revise coursework and key assessments
Goal:	Ensure superintendents are adequately prepared to lead P-12 school districts
Number of Participants:	5
Strategy:	- Review current curriculum for depth and breadth of standard coverage - Revise coursework, as needed - Identify 3-5 program key assessments with rubrics to assess knowledge, skills, and dispositions related to ODHE standards and CAEP RA1.1 skills - Obtain feedback from stakeholders (e.g. Advisory Boards/councils, partners, current superintendents, candidates, completers) - Incorporate feedback into new curriculum and submit for approval

Demonstration of Impact: - Program Key assessments

External Recognition: CAEP; ODHE; EPP Partners