

## Local Government Fund

This chapter deals with two revenuesharing funds that were merged into one during fiscal year 2008: the Local Government Fund (LGF) and the Local Government Revenue Assistance Fund (LGRAF).

The LGF dates back to the Jan. 1, 1935 birth of the state sales tax. The fund has undergone many changes in ensuing decades, but the basic elements remain: a designated portion of state revenues is deposited into the LGF, a statutory formula is used to allocate revenue monthly to the undivided LGFs of each of Ohio's 88 counties, and county budget commissions determine the distribution of the undivided fund moneys to local subdivisions.

In 1989, the General Assembly created the state LGRAF, providing local subdivisions with an additional share of state tax revenue, allocated to each of Ohio's 88 county undivided LGRAFs according to each county's share of the total state population. The LGRAF was eliminated as a separate fund by consolidation into the LGF effective Jan. 1, 2008 as part of a broader overhaul of revenue sharing in Ohio. Starting with the 2008 calendar year, the newly consolidated LGF receives a 3.68 percent share of all general revenue tax collections.

During the 2009 calendar year, approximately \$654.6 million was distributed to local governments from the state LGF. This amount includes $\$ 13.2$ million distributed to county undivided LGFs from the tax on dealers in intangibles.

## Revenue Sources

(Ohio Revised Code 131.44, 5727.45, 5727.84, 5733.12, 5739.21, 5741.03, 5747.03) Before January 2008, permanent law called for the state LGF to receive a 4.2 percent share of collections from four major taxes: the sales and use, individual income, corporation franchise, and public utility excise taxes. In addition, the law called for 2.646 percent of the kilowatt-hour tax to be deposited into the LGF. Permanent law also called for the state LGRAF to receive a 0.6 percent share of the four major taxes and 0.378 percent of the kilowatt-hour tax.

Between mid-2001 and mid-2007, the 124th, 125th and 126th general assemblies set aside the statutory revenue sharing formulas for both funds as part of a series of temporary "freezes." As part of these freezes, LGF and LGRAF revenue was distributed to counties based largely on the amount received during the previous year.

In 2007, House Bill 119 - the main operating budget bill for fiscal year 2008-09 - extended the freeze through the end of

the 2007 calendar year, then set into motion a major restructuring of these funds. Starting in January 2008:

- the LGRAF was consolidated into the LGF.
- the new consolidated LGF was funded based on a 3.68 percent share of all general revenue tax collections, rather than the older system of percentages that varied based on the tax.


## Distributions to Local Governments <br> (R.C. 5747.50, 5747.501)

From mid-2001 through mid-2007, a permanent statutory formula for calculating the amount of money to be distributed to local governments through the LGF was suspended as part of a series of local government fund "freezes."
H.B. 119 extended the freeze through the end of 2007 and permanently revamped the statutory formula for calculating distributions. Starting with the 2008 calendar year:

- subject to available resources, each county's undivided LGF fund receives at least what it received in combined distributions from the LGF and LGRAF during the 2007 calendar year.
- subject to available resources, each of the more than 500 municipalities that received a direct distribution from the LGF in 2007 receives an equal amount in subsequent calendar years.
If revenue in the LGF is not sufficient to meet the minimums described above, then each county and municipality receives a prorated share of the state LGF, proportionate to that received in 2007.

If additional revenue is available once these distributions have been made, it is distributed to the 88 county undivided LGFs based on each county's proportionate share of the state population, using U.S. Census Bureau estimates from the previous year. No additional revenue is allocated directly to municipalities, which may not receive directly from the state LGF more than they received in 2007.

## Monthly Distribution Procedure

(R.C. 5747.50)

Distributions from the state LGF to municipal corporations and counties are made on or before the tenth day of each month.

## Use of Funds

(R.C. 5747.50-5747.53)

All amounts received by a municipal corporation directly from the state LGF are paid into the municipality's general fund to be used for any lawful purpose.

The amount that each county receives from the state LGF is expressly designated for deposit into the county's undivided LGF, where it is combined with the share of dealers in intangibles tax revenue that is collected by the state and returned to the county of origin.

Before January 2008 (when the state LGRAF was merged with the LGF), the amount that each county received from the
state LGRAF was also expressly designated for deposit into the county's undivided LGRAF.

Revenue from county undivided LGFs (and, before January 2008, county undivided LGRAFs) are disbursed to local governments to be used for current operating expenses of the county government, municipalities, townships, and certain special districts. These distributions are either done according to statutory guidelines intended to distribute revenue in a manner that reflects local needs or according to alternative apportionment methods devised by local county budget commissions. Such alternative apportionment methods are authorized if approved by subdivisions within the county as required by statute.

Table 1

| Total State Local Government Fund and Dealers in Intangibles Distributions, Calendar Years 1999-2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calender Year | Total State Local Government Fund |  | Dealers in Intangibles Tax |  | State LGF and Intangibles Tax Combined Amount <br> Percent Change |  |
|  | Amount | Percent Change | Amount | Percent Change |  |  |
| 1999 | \$664,772,737 | --- | \$10,697,411 | --- | \$675,470,148 | --- |
| 2000 | 692,233,886 | 4.13 | 13,901,032 | 29.95 | 706,134,918 | 4.54 |
| 2001 | 705,421,757 | 1.9 | 15,905,620 | 14.42 | 721,327,377 | 2.15 |
| 2002 | 670,658,730 | -4.93 | 11,229,780 | -29.4 | 681,888,510 | -5.47 |
| 2003 | 661,828,265 | -1.32 | 9,097,256 | -18.99 | 670,925,521 | -1.61 |
| 2004 | 661,828,265 | 0.00 | 10,448,586 | 14.85 | 672,276,851 | 0.20 |
| 2005 | 661,828,265 | 0.00 | 11,660,148 | 11.60 | 673,488,413 | 0.18 |
| 2006 | 661,828,265 | 0.00 | 13,908,699 | 19.28 | 675,736,964 | 0.33 |
| 2007 | 661,828,265 | 0.00 | 12,605,989 | -9.37 | 674,434,254 | -0.19 |
| $2008{ }^{1}$ | 745,649,267 | 12.67 | 11,852,573 | -5.98 | 757,501,840 | 12.32 |
| 2009 | 641,403,389 | -13.98 | 13,210,244 | 11.45 | 654,613,633 | -13.58 |

[^0]Table 2

| County | To Counties ${ }^{1}$ | State Local Government Fund - Amounts Distributed to Counties and Municipalities, by County: Calendar Year 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Municipalities | Total | County | To Counties ${ }^{1}$ | Municipalities | Total |
| ADAMS | \$749,692 | \$745 | \$750,437 | LOGAN | \$1,787,521 | \$104,273 | \$1,891,794 |
| ALLEN | 4,548,708 | 279,280 | 4,827,988 | LORAIN | 16,013,365 | 988,544 | 17,001,909 |
| ASHLAND | 2,097,323 | 145,634 | 2,242,958 | LUCAS | 24,723,818 | 3,112,379 | 27,836,197 |
| ASHTABULA | 3,981,136 | 206,332 | 4,187,468 | MADISON | 1,426,785 | 68,482 | 1,495,267 |
| ATHENS | 2,047,945 | 124,959 | 2,172,904 | MAHONING | 10,136,656 | 656,114 | 10,792,770 |
| AUGLAIZE | 2,281,053 | 155,075 | 2,436,128 | MARION | 2,643,004 | 175,746 | 2,818,750 |
| BELMONT | 2,807,857 | 20,754 | 2,828,611 | MEDINA | 6,887,667 | 281,928 | 7,169,596 |
| BROWN | 1,110,925 | 14,563 | 1,125,488 | MEIGS | 642,666 | 8,850 | 651,517 |
| BUTLER | 14,359,162 | 987,413 | 15,346,575 | MERCER | 1,844,797 | 62,126 | 1,906,923 |
| CARROLL | 788,952 | 13,555 | 802,507 | MIAMI | 5,087,932 | 374,123 | 5,462,055 |
| CHAMPAIGN | 1,427,816 | 76,408 | 1,504,224 | MONROE | 417,538 | 5,116 | 422,654 |
| CLARK | 5,639,736 | 458,562 | 6,098,297 | MONTGOMERY | 31,306,802 | 3,513,098 | 34,819,900 |
| CLERMONT | 4,321,860 | 47,054 | 4,368,914 | MORGAN | 413,099 | 8,274 | 421,373 |
| CLINTON | 1,596,655 | 54,693 | 1,651,348 | MORROW | 757,304 | 18,456 | 775,760 |
| COLUMBIANA ${ }^{2}$ | 4,073,334 | 170,758 | 4,244,092 | MUSKINGUM | 3,048,603 | 191,594 | 3,240,197 |
| COSHOCTON | 1,424,593 | 51,312 | 1,475,905 | NOBLE | 375,086 | 0 | 375,086 |
| CRAWFORD | 2,078,559 | 120,891 | 2,199,450 | OTTAWA | 1,649,867 | 55,844 | 1,705,711 |
| CUYAHOGA | 110,095,720 | 11,518,637 | 121,614,357 | PAULDING | 666,844 | 1,719 | 668,564 |
| DARKE | 2,356,181 | 90,936 | 2,447,117 | PERRY | 921,617 | 16,760 | 938,377 |
| DEFIANCE | 1,800,906 | 99,553 | 1,900,460 | PICKAWAY | 1,817,931 | 74,782 | 1,892,712 |
| DELAWARE | 4,819,842 | 188,744 | 5,008,587 | PIKE | 768,385 | 15,284 | 783,668 |
| ERIE | 3,700,858 | 154,988 | 3,855,845 | PORTAGE | 6,190,896 | 412,961 | 6,603,857 |
| FAIRFIELD | 4,966,959 | 229,227 | 5,196,186 | PREBLE | 1,498,265 | 63,136 | 1,561,401 |
| FAYETTE | 1,157,639 | 56,519 | 1,214,157 | PUTNAM | 1,435,852 | 52,736 | 1,488,589 |
| FRANKLIN | 75,255,824 | 9,098,831 | 84,354,655 | RICHLAND | 6,091,029 | 489,178 | 6,580,207 |
| FULTON | 1,952,414 | 139,577 | 2,091,991 | ROSS | 2,822,728 | 145,783 | 2,968,511 |
| GALLIA | 1,016,176 | 25,426 | 1,041,602 | SANDUSKY | 2,835,119 | 156,456 | 2,991,576 |
| GEAUGA | 2,702,061 | 96,454 | 2,798,515 | SCIOTO | 2,570,574 | 102,166 | 2,672,740 |
| GREENE | 8,066,507 | 241,417 | 8,307,924 | SENECA | 2,699,551 | 191,593 | 2,891,144 |
| GUERNSEY | 1,510,051 | 53,935 | 1,563,985 | SHELBY | 2,378,874 | 200,540 | 2,579,414 |
| HAMILTON | 52,397,368 | 5,973,775 | 58,371,143 | STARK | 15,726,117 | 1,155,593 | 16,881,710 |
| HANCOCK | 3,900,195 | 216,128 | 4,116,323 | SUMMIT | 34,445,667 | 3,078,988 | 37,524,655 |
| HARDIN | 1,201,109 | 55,064 | 1,256,173 | TRUMBULL | 9,029,172 | 471,053 | 9,500,224 |
| HARRISON | 554,835 | 12,868 | 567,703 | TUSCARAWAS | 4,290,995 | 182,800 | 4,473,795 |
| HENRY | 1,230,022 | 52,070 | 1,282,092 | UNION | 1,549,545 | 70,452 | 1,619,996 |
| HIGHLAND | 1,369,664 | 61,823 | 1,431,487 | VINTON | 332,858 | 0 | 332,858 |
| HOCKING | 887,232 | 35,256 | 922,489 | WARREN | 6,889,189 | 378,389 | 7,267,578 |
| HOLMES | 945,700 | 12,600 | 958,300 | WASHINGTON | 2,345,541 | 120,276 | 2,465,817 |
| HURON | 2,694,790 | 223,870 | 2,918,660 | WAYNE | 4,875,190 | 238,225 | 5,113,415 |
| JACKSON | 1,143,159 | 0 | 1,143,159 | WILLIAMS | 1,908,463 | 132,546 | 2,041,009 |
| JEFFERSON | 3,839,261 | 186,111 | 4,025,372 | WOOD | 5,585,738 | 427,829 | 6,013,567 |
| KNOX | 1,974,700 | 110,465 | 2,085,165 | WYANDOT | 1,028,416 | 51,736 | 1,080,153 |
| LAKE | 16,832,389 | 1,163,317 | 17,995,706 |  |  |  |  |
| LAWRENCE | 1,871,991 | 34,948 | 1,906,939 |  |  |  |  |
| LICKING | 6,555,952 | 317,153 | 6,873,105 | TOTAL | \$603,301,362 | \$51,312,271 | \$654,613,633 |

[^1]
[^0]:    12008 displays the effects of H.B 119 and the combining of the Local Government and Local Government Revenue Assistance Funds.

[^1]:    1 Includes dealers in intangibles tax distributions for counties
    2 Includes $\$ 2,029,235$ redirected to the county's fiscal agent.

