

Common Sense io Initiative

Mike DeWine, Governor Jim Tressel, Lt. Governor Joseph Baker, Director

Business Impact Analysis

Agency, Board, or Commission Name: State Dental Board							
Rule Contact Name and Contact Information: Corey Schaal, JD, MPA 614/644-7674							
Regulation/Package Title (a general description of the rules' substantive content): Use of LASERs by Dental Hygienists Delta Name by (a) 1 4715 0 01 4715 0 07							
Rule Number(s): 4715-9-01, 4715-9-01.4, and 4715-9-07							
Date of Submission for CSI Review: Public Comment Period End Date:							
Rule Type/Number of Rules: New/_Xrules No Change/rules (FYR?) Amended/_Xrules (FYR?) Rescinded/rules (FYR?)							

The Common Sense Initiative is established in R.C. 107.61 to eliminate excessive and duplicative rules and regulations that stand in the way of job creation. Under the Common Sense Initiative, agencies must balance the critical objectives of regulations that have an adverse impact on business with the costs of compliance by the regulated parties. Agencies should promote transparency, responsiveness, predictability, and flexibility while developing regulations that are fair and easy to follow. Agencies should prioritize compliance over punishment, and to that end, should utilize plain language in the development of regulations.

Reason for Submission

1. R.C. 106.03 and 106.031 require agencies, when reviewing a rule, to determine whether the rule has an adverse impact on businesses as defined by R.C. 107.52. If the agency determines that it does, it must complete a business impact analysis and submit the rule for CSI review.

Which adverse impact(s) to businesses has the agency determined the rule(s) create?

The rule(s):

- a.

 Requires a license, permit, or any other prior authorization to engage in or operate a line of business.
- b.

 Imposes a criminal penalty, a civil penalty, or another sanction, or creates a cause of action for failure to comply with its terms.
- c.

 Requires specific expenditures or the report of information as a condition of compliance.
- d. ☐ Is likely to directly reduce the revenue or increase the expenses of the lines of business to which it will apply or applies.

Regulatory Intent

2. Please briefly describe the draft regulation in plain language.

Please include the key provisions of the regulation as well as any proposed amendments.

4715-9-01 Permissible practices of a dental hygienist. This rule sets forth the minimum permissible duties of licensed dental hygienists in Ohio. Amendments to the rules set forth the guidelines for the use of light amplification by stimulated emission of radiation (LASER) technologies by the dental hygienist, reword some other permitted functions, and correct spelling and/or grammatical errors.

4715-9-01.4 Use of Lasers; education and training requirements. This new rule sets specific education, examination, and training requirements for the use of LASERs by the dental hygienist.

4715-9-07 Dental hygienist non-delegable dental tasks and/or procedures. This new rule sets forth those duties/procedures that are NOT permitted to be delegated to/performed by licensed dental hygienists. These procedures may only be performed by a licensed dentist.

3. Please list the Ohio statute(s) that authorize the agency, board or commission to adopt the rule(s) and the statute(s) that amplify that authority.

Authorized by:

O.R.C. 4715.03 Board organization – examinations.

O.R.C. 4715.20 Conditions to practice as dental hygienist.

O.R.C. 4715.22 Supervision of a licensed dentist.

O.R.C. 4715.23 Practice limitations.

O.R.C. 4715.36 Definitions.

Amplifies:

O.R.C. 4715.20 Conditions to practice as dental hygienist.

O.R.C. 4715.22 Supervision of a licensed dentist.

O.R.C. 4715.23 Practice limitations.

4. Does the regulation implement a federal requirement? Is the proposed regulation being adopted or amended to enable the state to obtain or maintain approval to administer and enforce a federal law or to participate in a federal program?

If yes, please briefly explain the source and substance of the federal requirement.

These rules do not implement any federal requirements, nor were they implemented to enable the state to obtain or maintain approval to administer and enforce a federal law or to participate in a federal program.

5. If the regulation implements a federal requirement, but includes provisions not specifically required by the federal government, please explain the rationale for exceeding the federal requirement.

This question is not applicable since the rules do not implement any federal requirements.

6. What is the public purpose for this regulation (i.e., why does the Agency feel that there needs to be any regulation in this area at all)?

The General Assembly determined that the profession of dentistry required regulation and established a Board to license individuals and enforce the law and rules governing the practice of dentistry in Ohio. These regulations allow the Board to carry out its statutory mission to ensure protection of the public by setting requirements for education, examination and practice standards for dental hygienists.

7. How will the Agency measure the success of this regulation in terms of outputs and/or outcomes?

These rules are not quantitative regulation, nor do they impose a measurable (if any) quantitative burden on the licensee. The success of the regulations will be measured by the licensees understanding or legal objections to the rules.

8. Are any of the proposed rules contained in this rule package being submitted pursuant to R.C. 101.352, 101.353, 106.032, 121.93, or 121.931?

If yes, please specify the rule number(s), the specific R.C. section requiring this submission, and a detailed explanation.

The rules in this package are not being submitted pursuant to R.C. 101.352, 101.353, 106.032, 121.93, or 121.931.

Development of the Regulation

9. Please list the stakeholders included by the Agency in the development or initial review of the draft regulation.

If applicable, please include the date and medium by which the stakeholders were initially contacted.

The Board's Law and Rules Review Committee holds open meetings throughout the rule review year. The Committee is comprised of seven (7) members including representatives from the Ohio Dental Association and the Ohio Dental Hygienists' Association. Additionally, the Board sends public notices and proposed Rule Review agendas to the Board distribution mailing list, a listing of parties interested in all Board proceedings. The Board met on July 30, 2025 to accept the proposed amendments and approve the filing of these rules.

10. What input was provided by the stakeholders, and how did that input affect the draft regulation being proposed by the Agency?

The Board received input from the Board's Law and Rules Review Committee over multiple meetings during 2025. No additional comments were received from other interested individuals.

11. What scientific data was used to develop the rule or the measurable outcomes of the rule? How does this data support the regulation being proposed?

Scientific data was not used to develop the rules as the rules are not data driven.

12. What alternative regulations (or specific provisions within the regulation) did the Agency consider, and why did it determine that these alternatives were not appropriate? If none, why didn't the Agency consider regulatory alternatives?

Alternative regulations may include performance-based regulations, which define the required outcome, but do not dictate the process the regulated stakeholders must use to comply.

The Board did not consider further alternative regulations for these rules.

13. What measures did the Agency take to ensure that this regulation does not duplicate an existing Ohio regulation?

The five-year rule review process is conducted with the focus on eliminating obsolete, unnecessary, and redundant rules and avoiding duplication. In addition, meetings with interested parties help to ensure that these rules do not duplicate any existing Ohio regulations.

14. Please describe the Agency's plan for implementation of the regulation, including any measures to ensure that the regulation is applied consistently and predictably for the regulated community.

The Board will continue to use its website to educate and update licensees on its rules. Board employees provide information to applicants upon request and for clarification purposes. Staff training is conducted for rule changes to ensure that regulations are applied consistently. Also, the Board will work with professional licensing boards, the Ohio Dental Association, and the Ohio Dental Hygienists' Association to educate and regulate licensees on Board rules.

Adverse Impact to Business

- 15. Provide a summary of the estimated cost of compliance with the rule(s). Specifically, please do the following:
 - a. Identify the scope of the impacted business community, and

Licensed dentists and dental hygienists in Ohio.

b. Quantify and identify the nature of all adverse impact (e.g., fees, fines, employer time for compliance, etc.).

The adverse impact can be quantified in terms of dollars, hours to comply, or other factors; and may be estimated for the entire regulated population or for a representative business. Please include the source for your information/estimated impact.

The adverse impacts created by the rules are in licensure costs, training requirements, continuing education requirements, the requirement to meet minimum standards of care, and the potential sanctions for failing to follow the requirements of the rules for dentists and dental hygienists. Potential sanctions can include lost time and earnings, suspension of a license, and required educational remediation. Additionally, there will be varying costs to the dental hygienist based on the cost of the individual continuing education courses taken.

16. Are there any proposed changes to the rules that will <u>reduce</u> a regulatory burden imposed on the business community? Please identify. (Reductions in regulatory burden may include streamlining reporting processes, simplifying rules to improve readability, eliminating requirements, reducing compliance time or fees, or other related factors).

Yes, the rule removes a prohibition on the use of lasers by dental hygienists. This removes a burden on dental offices where only dentists had been permitted to use this technology, limiting its usage. Additionally, the changes improve readability and clarity by placing the non-delegable duties rule impacting dental hygienists into the section related to them making it easier to understand.

17. Why did the Agency determine that the regulatory intent justifies the adverse impact to the regulated business community?

Any adverse impacts are necessary to follow the statutory requirement to regulate eligibility criteria, application procedures, and safety standards for the practice of dentistry in Ohio, and in doing so to protect the public. Additionally, amendments to the rules increase the scope of practice of dental hygienists which will allow them to treat a broader variety of dental issues.

Regulatory Flexibility

18. Does the regulation provide any exemptions or alternative means of compliance for small businesses? Please explain.

The rules do not provide any exemption or alternative means of compliance for small businesses. The law does not differentiate on the size of the business and, therefore, the rules apply to all licensed dentists and dental hygienists.

19. How will the agency apply Ohio Revised Code section 119.14 (waiver of fines and penalties for paperwork violations and first-time offenders) into implementation of the regulation?

O.R.C. 4715 does not allow for the implementation of fines or penalties. Therefore, this is not applicable.

20.	What resources an	re available to	assist small	businesses	with complian	ce of the
	regulation?					

Resources available to assist small businesses with compliance are the Board's law, rules, and policies online at the Board's website. Additionally, Board staff respond verbally and in writing to queries from interested parties.